



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,353	03/05/2002	Ioannis Katsavounidis	INTV.007A	7737
4586	7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE			VO, TUNG T	
3458 ELLICO	TT CENTER DRIVE-SU	ITE 101		
ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2613	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/092,353	KATSAVOUNIDIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tung Vo	2613	

	Tung Vo	2613	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CON	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a l wing replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba Iment, affidavit, or other evider Il fee) in compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		e set forth in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspondin shortened statutory period for than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The appropri reply originally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37	must be filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filin	on a brief will not be entered by	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search	(see NOTE below):	Codusc
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	-		the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •		•
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 6-10, 12-17.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections und	der appeal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claim	ns after entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the app	lication in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449	Paper No(s).	
10. [] Ouidi		(um.1/	
		Tung Vo	
	,	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2613	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations in claim 6, lines 5-8, 11-16; claim 13, lines 4-7, 9-12, 16-17 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

TV